

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,810	01/10/2001	Jochen Voss	Mo-6029/LeA 34,199	7359
7590 06/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Bryan H. Opalko			BISSETT, MELANIE D	
Buchanan Inger	rsoll, P.C.			·
One Oxford Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
301 Grant Street 20th Flr.			1711	
Pittsburgh, PA	15219			
•			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VM				
Advisory Action	09/757,810	VOSS, JOCHEN	9				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Melanie D. Bissett	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approdunt of the fee. The appropriations in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S. ,				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
		•					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added claims present issues not previously addressed by the examiner. Thus, the new claims woul require further consideration by the examiner. Regarding the arguments that the references do not suggest the amounts of swelling materials claimed in the independent claims, it is specifically noted that Wolf teaches using metal salts in a preferred amount of 0.05-2.5% by weight (col. 4 lines 23-27), and Lane teaches ratios of anticaking agent to metal salt as low as 2:1. This gives a preferred endpoint of 0.1% by weight anticaking agent.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700